



Medical Coverage Policy

Effective Date5/15/2026

Next Review Date4/15/2027

Coverage Policy Number..... 0315

Gait Analysis

Table of Contents

- Overview 2
- Coverage Policy 2
- Coding Information 2
- General Background..... 3
- Health Equity Considerations..... 9
- References..... 9
- Revision Details 12

Related Coverage Resources

[Electrodiagnostic Testing \(EMG/NCV\)](#)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE

The following Coverage Policy applies to health benefit plans administered by Cigna Companies. Certain Cigna Companies and/or lines of business only provide utilization review services to clients and do not make coverage determinations. References to standard benefit plan language and coverage determinations do not apply to those clients. Coverage Policies are intended to provide guidance in interpreting certain standard benefit plans administered by Cigna Companies. Please note, the terms of a customer’s particular benefit plan document [Group Service Agreement, Evidence of Coverage, Certificate of Coverage, Summary Plan Description (SPD) or similar plan document] may differ significantly from the standard benefit plans upon which these Coverage Policies are based. For example, a customer’s benefit plan document may contain a specific exclusion related to a topic addressed in a Coverage Policy. In the event of a conflict, a customer’s benefit plan document always supersedes the information in the Coverage Policies. In the absence of a controlling federal or state coverage mandate, benefits are ultimately determined by the terms of the applicable benefit plan document. Coverage determinations in each specific instance require consideration of 1) the terms of the applicable benefit plan document in effect on the date of service; 2) any applicable laws/regulations; 3) any relevant collateral source materials including Coverage Policies and; 4) the specific facts of the particular situation. Each coverage request should be reviewed on its own merits. Medical directors are expected to exercise clinical judgment where appropriate and have discretion in making individual coverage determinations. Where coverage for care or services does not depend on specific circumstances, reimbursement will only be provided if a requested service(s) is submitted in accordance with the relevant criteria outlined in the applicable Coverage Policy, including covered diagnosis and/or procedure code(s). Reimbursement is not allowed for services when billed for conditions or diagnoses that are not covered under this Coverage Policy (see "Coding Information" below). When billing, providers must use the most appropriate codes as of the effective date of the submission. Claims submitted for services that are not accompanied by covered code(s) under the applicable Coverage Policy will be denied as not covered. Coverage Policies relate exclusively to the administration of health

benefit plans. Coverage Policies are not recommendations for treatment and should never be used as treatment guidelines. In certain markets, delegated vendor guidelines may be used to support medical necessity and other coverage determinations.

Overview

This Coverage Policy addresses computerized gait analysis, also referred to as motion analysis.

Coverage Policy

Computerized gait analysis is considered medically necessary when BOTH of the following criteria are met:

- A child or adolescent has a diagnosis of cerebral palsy or myelomeningocele.
- The procedure is performed as part of a preoperative assessment, and the results will be used in surgical planning.

Gait analysis for any other indication is not covered or reimbursable.

Coding Information

Notes:

1. This list of codes may not be all-inclusive since the American Medical Association (AMA) and Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) code updates may occur more frequently than policy updates.
2. Deleted codes and codes which are not effective at the time the service is rendered may not be eligible for reimbursement.

Considered Medically Necessary when criteria in the applicable policy statements listed above are met:

CPT®* Codes	Description
96000	Comprehensive computer-based motion analysis by video-taping and 3D kinematics
96001	Comprehensive computer-based motion analysis by video-taping and 3D kinematics; with dynamic plantar pressure measurements during walking
96002	Dynamic surface electromyography, during walking or other functional activities, 1-12 muscles
96004	Review and interpretation by physician or other qualified health care professional of comprehensive computer-based motion analysis, dynamic plantar pressure measurements, dynamic surface electromyography during walking or other functional activities, and dynamic fine wire electromyography, with written report

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes	Description
G80.0	Spastic quadriplegic cerebral palsy
G80.1	Spastic diplegic cerebral palsy
G80.2	Spastic hemiplegic cerebral palsy

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes	Description
G80.4	Ataxic cerebral palsy
G80.8	Other cerebral palsy
G80.9	Cerebral palsy, unspecified
Q05.0	Cervical spina bifida with hydrocephalus
Q05.1	Thoracic spina bifida with hydrocephalus
Q05.2	Lumbar spina bifida with hydrocephalus
Q05.3	Sacral spina bifida with hydrocephalus
Q05.4	Unspecified spina bifida with hydrocephalus
Q05.5	Cervical spina bifida without hydrocephalus
Q05.6	Thoracic spina bifida without hydrocephalus
Q05.7	Lumbar spina bifida without hydrocephalus
Q05.8	Sacral spina bifida without hydrocephalus
Q05.9	Spina bifida, unspecified

Not Covered or Reimbursable:

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes	Description
	All other codes

***Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®) © 2025 American Medical Association: Chicago, IL.**

General Background

Gait analysis, also referred to as motion analysis, is the systematic evaluation of the dynamics of gait. It is a process of measuring and evaluating the walking patterns of individuals with specific gait-related problems. Observational gait analysis, the standard method of evaluating gait, refers to the visual assessment of an individual’s gait, with specific attention to hips, knees, and ankles. Gait analysis by observer assessment does not use any specialized equipment, can adequately assess most conditions, and is used to note gross abnormalities in gait.

Gait analysis may also be performed in a gait analysis laboratory using specialized technology. This is also referred to as computerized gait analysis, quantitative gait analysis, or clinical gait analysis. This procedure has been used to understand the etiology of gait abnormalities and as part of the treatment decision-making in individuals with complex walking problems. It has been most often used for individuals with neuromuscular conditions, primarily as part of the surgical decision-making process when all conservative measures have been exhausted and surgical intervention is being considered. Computerized gait analysis is a process by which gait characteristics are measured, abnormalities are identified, causes are suggested, and treatments are proposed. It is not intended to replace the clinical examination but rather serves as an adjunct to understand the impairment better. The treatment decision should be made in the total context of the individual’s condition, physical examination, and medical history.

The technologies involved in clinical gait analysis include:

- Specialized computer-interfaced video cameras that measure motion. An initial videotape is recorded to provide documentation of how an individual walks and the individual's gait pattern.
- Passive reflective markers are placed on the surface of an individual's skin, aligning with specific bony landmarks and joints. As the individual walks along a straight pathway in the laboratory, the locations of the markers are monitored with a three-dimensional motion data-capture system comprising five or six special video cameras, all interfaced with a central controlling computer. An infrared light is reflected from the markers back to the cameras. Marker position data allows for the computation of the angular orientation of body segments as well as of the angles between segments (joint angles); these data are collectively referred to as kinematics.
- Multicomponent force platforms imbedded in the walkway provide measurement of reaction between foot and ground as the individual walks. The data are assessed directly or used to calculate the load in and across the joints. The joint load is referred to as kinetics.
- Electrodes placed on the surface of the skin or inserted as fine wires into specific muscles allow the muscle to be monitored as the individual walks. This is referred to as dynamic electromyography (EMG). This technique measures the electrical potential generated by a muscle when it is activated. This information, along with joint kinematic and kinetic results, is used to assess the gait abnormalities.

An extensive physical examination at rest should be performed. This information may then be correlated with the gait data. The gait analysis will usually take two to four hours to complete. To perform gait analysis, the individual must be ambulatory with or without assistive devices for a minimum of 10 consecutive steps. The individual must also be able to follow directions and be cooperative during the procedure. The gait analysis data are often interpreted by a team that includes the orthopedic surgeon; the physical therapist or kinesiologist who collected the data; and, at times, the engineer who collected data or the biomechanical engineer who developed the mathematical models used for processing the data. The information from the gait analysis is used along with results of the clinical examination to identify gait deviations, determine potential causes, and determine treatment.

The most frequent application of gait analysis is in the treatment of children and adolescents with cerebral palsy when surgical treatment is being considered. The orthopedic difficulties encountered in children with cerebral palsy are frequently a result of high muscle tone, spasticity, and rigidity that prevent normal growth of muscle and cause contractures. Treatment of this condition includes physical therapy, occupational therapy, casting, orthotics, and medication. Surgery is often recommended when contractures are severe enough to cause movement problems. Gait analysis may be utilized to determine if surgery is necessary and to determine which surgical procedure is appropriate. There are several published studies regarding the use of gait analysis to provide objective information in the surgical planning process for this condition. Gait analysis has also been shown to be similarly impactful in the surgical planning process for individuals with spina bifida.

Literature Review—Cerebral Palsy

There have been several prospective and retrospective studies that have been published regarding the utilization of gait analysis in the surgical decision-making process in children and adolescents with cerebral palsy (Reisig, et al., 2025; Dugan, et al., 2020; Khouri, et al., 2017; Wren, et al., 2013; Wren, et al., 2011). Studies have demonstrated that the use of gait analysis alters decision making and changes the treatment that these individuals receive, including confirming clinical indications for surgery, and for excluding or delaying surgery that was clinically proposed.

A retrospective review was conducted by Bent et al. (2024) to determine if social determinants of health impact access to computerized gait analysis (CGA). The study included 223 individuals seen

for clinical gait analysis in the Motion Analysis Laboratory at a pediatric tertiary care center in California. Age range was 3 – 22 years and there was a variety of diagnoses, the most common being cerebral palsy. The authors found:

- Insurance type affected time to authorization ($p=0.0004$). Once authorized, insurance type did not affect time to schedule and complete CGA ($p=0.76$).
- Lower neighborhood socioeconomic status was associated with longer time to authorization but shorter time to complete CGA once authorized.
- Rescheduling was associated with longer time to complete CGA once authorized ($p<0.0001$). White, non-Hispanic families tended to reschedule more often than non-white or Hispanic families ($p=0.07$).

The authors concluded that this is a multi-faceted issue that requires further research.

Hebda-Boon et al. (2023) explored through systematic review the impact of instrumented gait analysis on decision-making in children and young people with cerebral palsy (CYPwCP). The authors included studies involving individuals less than 25 years of age, addressing treatment planning and delivery. Excluded were studies where instrumented gait analysis was used to measure intervention effect but did not report influence on clinical decision-making. Results of analysis showed that the change to treatment ultimately performed ranged from 42% to 89% of individuals. All studies included demonstrated some amount of change to treatment recommendations as a result of instrumented gait analysis. Ultimately, the researchers concluded that instrumented gait analysis “leads to less recommendations for surgery and has the potential to influence conservative gait-related management in CYPwCP”.

Literature Review—Myelomeningocele (Spina Bifida)

Several studies have demonstrated the utility of instrumented gait analysis in the care of ambulatory individuals with myelomeningocele.

Moen et al. (2005) conducted a retrospective observational study of 34 children with either high sacral or low lumbar myelomeningocele. Included individuals had undergone clinical gait analysis and had clinically measured knee flexion contracture at the time of their analysis. The study compared results of 3D gait analysis to the clinically measured knee flexion contracture. Of interest was the difference between the two. Results demonstrated a statistically significant difference ($p < 0.05$) in clinical versus gait analysis measurement. Limitations of the study include the retrospective nature of the data and the small sample size. The authors concluded that gait analysis demonstrated the ability to identify individuals who could benefit from surgical intervention, particularly those who have borderline contractures on clinical evaluation.

Duffy et al. (1996) provided results of an observational cross-sectional study of 28 children with lumbar and sacral neurologic level spina bifida. These children were compared to 15 orthopedically typical children of similar ages. The authors found that the results of 3D gait analysis elucidated typical gait patterns correlated with associated neurological levels. The authors conclude that this information can help to better inform the treatment decisions in these individuals as well as assist in management of deficits that put individuals at a risk for further degenerative changes.

Gabrieli et al. (2003) conducted an observational study of 20 children with low lumbar myelomeningocele and hip subluxation. The participants were community ambulators using solid bilateral ankle-foot orthoses. Results of 3D gait analysis demonstrated that gait pattern was associated with presence of contractures—not with the presence of unilateral hip dislocation/subluxation. The study was limited by the lack of a control and a small sample size. The authors specifically noted that the 3D gait analysis data provided information that cannot be detected by observation. The authors posit that relocating the hip does not offer functional gait benefit for low lumbar crutch-walking patients.

Mueske et al. (2019) demonstrated results of a retrospective observational study of 43 ambulatory children with spina bifida who underwent clinical gait analysis over a period of 10 years. An orthopedic surgeon and a therapist first reviewed the data from visual assessment of gait and physical examination, making recommendations based on this data. The data from gait analysis was then included and reviewed, and subsequent recommendations were made. Primary outcomes of interest were the pathologies identified as well as any difference in treatment recommendations before and after the gait analysis. Regarding surgical recommendations, the overall recommendation of whether surgery was needed changed in 18% of cases. The specific recommended surgery changed in 44% of cases. There were limitations to the study, including the use of a small convenience sample. The authors conclude that gait analysis data has a clear impact on surgical recommendations.

Thus, evidence suggests that gait analysis impacts the treatment and surgical decision-making in individuals with myelomeningocele, similar to the impact shown in management of individuals with cerebral palsy.

Literature Review—Other Conditions

Gait analysis has been proposed for various other conditions. There is insufficient evidence in the published, peer-reviewed scientific literature that demonstrate improved long-term health outcomes with the use of dynamic gait analysis for other conditions.

Hecht et al. (2025) explored the use of gait analysis and biofeedback measurements in 40 elderly individuals after hip fracture surgery through a prospective observational study. 9 of the individuals in the study underwent 3D gait analysis which demonstrated shorter stance phase of the operated leg compared to the healthy leg (59.84% vs. 62.24%, $p = 0.02$). The study was limited by the very small sample size. The authors proposed that 3D gait analysis could be used in the postoperative period to identify early deficits suitable for intervention.

Hosl et al. (2025) conducted a retrospective database study of 31 children and youth with achondroplasia (ACH) and determined that 3D gait analysis may be an objective screening method for dynamic knee alignment and stability. It may complement radiography in monitoring ACH.

Kijewski et al. (2025) published results of a prospective study of instrumented gait analysis on post-anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction on 18 pediatric individuals. Results demonstrated the affected leg remained in greater valgus than the unaffected side ($P=0.026$). The authors postulated that instrumented gait analysis has “potential as a complementary tool for monitoring knee function following pediatric ACL injuries”.

Robinault et al. (2023) utilized high-density electromyography when evaluating subjects with non-specific low back pain. The study design did not include evaluating the efficacy of EMG on health outcomes.

Campanini et al. (2020) proposed the use of dynamic EMG in the assessment of the equinus and the equinovarus foot deviation in stroke patients. The article included individual patient case reports.

Ferrarin et al. (2015) evaluated a prospective cohort of 49 adults post-cerebrovascular accident with 3D gait analysis. The study sought to determine the impact of 3D gait analysis on therapeutic treatment recommendations. Results demonstrated that in 24 of 49 patients, recommendations changed after gait analysis data was reviewed and confidence in recommendations increased. The authors concluded that the study supports the hypothesis of gait analysis impact on treatment recommendations and that further study should be done to assess efficacy.

Radler et al. (2010) conducted a prospective study to investigate the correlation of femoral torsion and tibial torsion as measured by using computed tomography with transverse plane gait data for patients with rotational malalignment of the lower extremities in 26 patients (26 limbs). The authors note that further studies are needed to define more accurate methods for assessment of transverse plane rotation and the relationship of the joint partners during walking. The study was limited with a small number of subjects and that it was not randomized.

Sankar et al. (2009) evaluated 35 individuals (56 feet) with recurrent clubfoot in a retrospective study. According to the investigators, after quantitative gait analysis there were 28 changed procedures in 19 of 30 individuals (63%) compared to pre-study surgical plans. Study limitations include small study size, retrospective design, and that the study did not address computerized gait analysis impact on individual outcomes.

Williams et al. (2008) investigated objective measures to compare gait before and after cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) drainage and shunt surgery. Gait abnormalities are an early clinical symptom in normal pressure hydrocephalus (NPH) and subjective improvement in gait after temporary removal of CSF is often used to decide to perform shunt surgery. The study included 20 individuals and nine controls. Quantitative gait measures were obtained at baseline, after three days of controlled CSF drainage, and after shunt surgery. The authors concluded that there are significant, quantifiable changes in gait after CSF drainage that corresponds to improvement after shunt surgery for individuals with NPH. Use of objective gait assessment may improve the process of identifying these candidates when response to CSF removal is used as a supplemental prognostic test for shunt surgery. These findings require confirmation in a larger study.

A systematic review was conducted by Ornetti et al. (2010) that examined gait analysis as a quantifiable outcome measure in hip and knee osteoarthritis (OA). The review included 30 reports (19 knee OA studies, 11 hip OA studies) studying 781 knee OA patients and 343 hip OA patients). It was found that gait analysis presents various feasibility issues and there was limited evidence regarding reliability (three studies, 67 patients). The authors concluded that available data concerning validity and reliability of kinematic gait analysis are insufficient to date to consider kinematic parameters as valuable outcome measures in OA and that further studies are needed.

Professional Societies/Organizations

American Physical Therapy Association (APTA): The American Physical Therapy Association's Academy of Pediatric Physical Therapy published an Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline on Three-Dimensional Instrumented Gait Analysis for Children With Cerebral Palsy (3D-IGA) (States, et al., 2024). The Clinical Practice Guideline (CPG) aims to help physical therapists, physicians, and associated clinicians involved in the care of children with CP to determine how 3D-IGA can be used to guide decision making about potential examinations and interventions, and to improve clinical outcomes. The guideline is focused on the use of 3D-IGA in the management of children with CP who can walk with or without an assistive mobility device and who have gait dysfunction. Throughout this guideline, 3D-IGA refers to analysis of 3-dimensional kinematic data from a motion capture system; it may be accompanied by related measurement technologies including force plates (kinetics) and electromyography (EMG), often done simultaneously.

Level of Evidence:

I = A = Strong

II = B = Moderate

III = C = Weak

IV = C = Weak

V = P (practice) = Option

Best Practice = P = Varies

B. Informing Orthopedic Surgical Interventions: Physical therapists, physicians, and associated clinicians should recommend 3D-IGA when a child with CP who walks with or without an assistive mobility device is considered for orthopedic surgery to improve gait. (Evidence Quality: II, Rec. Strength: Moderate)

B. Gait Deviation Analysis: Physical therapists, physicians, and associated clinicians should recommend 3D-IGA when a child with CP presents with gait dysfunction and there is a need to identify, quantify, and differentiate deviations among individual segments/ joints and planes (sagittal, coronal, and transverse). (Evidence Quality: II, Rec. Strength: Moderate)

B. 3D-IGA to Evaluate Biomechanical Outcomes: When physical therapists, physicians, and associated clinicians need to evaluate biomechanical aspects of gait related to an intervention for children with CP, they should recommend baseline and post-intervention 3D-IGA. (Evidence Quality: III, Rec. Strength: Weak upgraded to Moderate for consistent results)

C. Non-Surgical Interventions: Physical therapists, physicians, and associated clinicians may recommend 3D-IGA to inform non-surgical interventions for children with CP with gait dysfunction whose progress from rehabilitative interventions and conservative management has plateaued or shown substantial deterioration. (Evidence Quality: III, Rec. Strength: Weak)

P. Instrumented Gait Analysis Equipment: When 3D-IGA is recommended for children with CP to assess gait patterns, physical therapists, physicians, and associated clinicians should recommend gait laboratories that can collect 3-dimensional kinematic, kinetic, and electromyography (EMG) data. (Evidence Quality: V, Rec. Strength: Best Practice)

P. Interdisciplinary Team Approach: When 3D-IGA is recommended for children with CP to assess gait patterns, physical therapists, physicians, and associated clinicians should recommend a 3D-IGA laboratory that has an interdisciplinary team approach. (Evidence Quality: V, Rec. Strength: Best Practice)

P. Comprehensive Reports: When 3D-IGA is recommended for children with CP to assess gait patterns, physical therapists, physicians, and associated clinicians should recommend 3D-IGA laboratories that provide comprehensive, timely, and interdisciplinary reports including: (a) referral source and reason for referral; (b) diagnosis including Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS) level; (c) primary concerns or goals of the child, family, and health care professionals including physical therapists; (d) pertinent past medical history; (e) current orthoses and adaptive equipment; (f) findings of physical exam; (g) documentation of 3D-IGA results; (h) limitations in conducting the assessment and or technical issues; (i) interpretation of findings by licensed clinician(s) (eg, MD and/or PT); and (j) suggestions for interventions by licensed clinician(s). (Evidence Quality: V, Rec. Strength: Best Practice) (States, et al., 2024).

Spina Bifida Association: The Spina Bifida Association published guidelines for the care of people with spina bifida in 2023. In these guidelines they discuss common orthopedic problems and goal outcomes for individuals with spina bifida. They also pose clinical questions, broken into age groups, and evidence-based practice recommendations. The guidelines recommend the use of computerized gait analysis when considering surgical intervention for ambulatory patients aged 3-5 years 11 months. They recommend considering computerized gait analysis for children aged 6-12 years 11 months with low lumbar or sacral level lesions and associated gait abnormalities, to

assist in clinical decision making. It is also noted that in ages 11-17 years 11 months, computerized gait analysis may be useful for surgical intervention decision making in those with low lumbar and sacral lesions (Swaroop, et al., 2023).

Health Equity Considerations

Health equity is the highest level of health for all people; health inequity is the avoidable difference in health status or distribution of health resources due to the social conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work, and age.

Social determinants of health are the conditions in the environment that affect a wide range of health, functioning, and quality of life outcomes and risks. Examples include safe housing, transportation, and neighborhoods; racism, discrimination and violence; education, job opportunities and income; access to nutritious foods and physical activity opportunities; access to clean air and water; and language and literacy skills.

One of the most significant health equity concerns associated with gait analysis is access to care. Research has shown that individuals living in neighborhoods with lower socioeconomic status often experience longer delays in obtaining authorization for gait analysis services. However, once authorization is secured, the time required to complete the gait analysis is relatively short. The interplay of factors contributing to these access issues is complex and highlights the need for further research to fully understand and address the underlying causes of these disparities.

References

1. Agostini V, Ghislieri M, Rosati S, Balestra G, Knaflitz M. Surface Electromyography Applied to Gait Analysis: How to Improve Its Impact in Clinics? *Front Neurol.* 2020 Sep 4;11:994.
2. Bent MA, Rethlefsen S, Beltran V, Wren T. Comprehensive computerized gait analysis: Barriers to access for children and adolescents. *Gait Posture.* 2024 Sep;113:319-323.
3. Campanini I, Cosma M, Manca M, Merlo A. Added Value of Dynamic EMG in the Assessment of the Equinus and the Equinovarus Foot Deviation in Stroke Patients and Barriers Limiting Its Usage. *Front Neurol.* 2020 Nov 19;11:583399.
4. Carvalho A, Vanrenterghem J, Cabral S, Assunção A, Fernandes R, Veloso AP, Moniz-Pereira V. Markerless three-dimensional gait analysis in healthy older adults: test-retest reliability and measurement error. *J Biomech.* 2024 Sep;174:112280.
5. Duffy CM, Hill AE, Cosgrove AP, Corry IS, Mollan RA, et al. Three-Dimensional Gait Analysis in Spina Bifida. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 1996 Nov-Dec;16(6):786-791. doi:10.1097/00004694-199611000-00016
6. Dugan EL, Shilt JS. The Role of Motion Analysis in Surgical Planning for Gait Abnormalities in Cerebral Palsy. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am.* 2020;31(1):107–115.
7. Ferrarin M, Rabuffetti M, Bacchini M, Casiraghi A, Castagna A, et al. Does Gait Analysis Change Clinical Decision-Making in Poststroke Patients? Results from a Pragmatic Prospective Observational Study. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med.* 2015 Apr;51:171-184.

8. Gabrieli APT, Vankoski SJ, Dias LS, Milani C, Lourenco A, et al. Gait analysis in low lumbar myelomeningocele patients with unilateral hip dislocation or subluxation. *J Pediatr Ortho*. 2003 May-Jun;23(3)330-334.
9. Hebda-Boon A, Tan L, Tillmann R, Shortland AP, Firth GB, et al. The Impact of Instrumented Gait Analysis on Decision-Making in the Interprofessional Management of Cerebral Palsy: A Scoping Review. *European Journal of Paediatric Neurology*. 2023;42:60-70. doi:10.1017/j.ejpn.2022.11.007
10. Hecht GA, Senden R, Marcellis R, Mertes M, Willems P, et al. Early Postoperative Gait Analysis in Elderly Patients Following Hip Fracture Surgery. *Sensors*. 2025;25:1888. doi:10.3390/s25061888
11. Horn J, Leardini A, Benedetti MG, Hestnes TM, Mindler G, et al. Fully Instrumented Gait Analysis in Rare Bone Diseases – A Scoping Review of the Literature. *Gait and Posture*. 2025 May;118:168-177. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2025.02.001
12. Hosl M, Thamm A, Afifi FK, Nader S. Frontal Plane Knee Kinematics and Kinetics During Gait in Children and Youth with Achondroplasia-Correspondence with Static X-Ray Images and Relevance to Symptoms. *Children (Basel)*. 2025 Jan 10;12(1):78.
13. Indelicato E, Raccagni C, Runer S, Hannink J, Nachbauer W, et al. Instrumented Gait Analysis Defines the Walking Signature of CACNA1A Disorders. *Journal of Neurology*. 2022;269:2941-2947. doi:10.1007/s00415-021-10878-y.
14. Khouri N, Desailly E. Contribution of clinical gait analysis to single-event multi-level surgery in children with cerebral palsy. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res*. 2017 Feb;103(1S):S105-S111.
15. Kijewski L, Boker E, Hofmann K, Engel T, Hepp P, et al. Instrumented Gait Analysis Post-Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction in Pediatric Patients: A non-invasive Method for Quantifying the Static and Dynamic Leg Axis. *J Exp Orthop*. 2025;12:e70320. doi:10.1002/jeo2.70320
16. Koch V, Ibrahim A, Winkler J, Eskofier B, Regensburger M, et al. Outcome Measures of Instrumented Gait Analysis in Hereditary Spastic Paraplegia: A Systematic Review. *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*. 2025;22:129. doi:10.1186/s12984-025-01646-4
17. McGrath M, Wood J, Walsh J, Window P. The impact of Three-Dimensional Gait Analysis in adults with pathological gait on management recommendations. *Gait Posture*. 2023 Sep;105:75-80.
18. Moen T, Gryfakis N, Dias L, Lemke L. Crouched Gait in Myelomeningocele. A Comparison Between the Degree of Knee Flexion Contracture in the Clinical Examination and During Gait. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2005 Sept-Oct;25:657-660.
19. Mueske NM, Öunpuu S, Ryan DD, Healy BS, Thomson J, Choi P, Wren TA. Impact of Gait Analysis on Pathology Identification and Surgical Recommendations in Children with Spina Bifida. *Gait Posture*. 2019;67:128. Epub 2018 Oct 9.
20. Ornetti P, Maillefert JF, Laroche D, Morisset C, Dougados M, Gossec L. Gait analysis as a quantifiable outcome measure in hip or knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review. *Joint Bone Spine*. 2010 Oct;77(5):421-5.

21. Radler C, Kranzl A, Manner HM, Höglinger M, Ganger R, Grill F. Torsional profile versus gait analysis: consistency between the anatomic torsion and the resulting gait pattern in patients with rotational malalignment of the lower extremity. *Gait Posture*. 2010 Jul;32(3):405-10.
22. Reisig R, Alexander N, Armand S, Barton GJ, Böhm H, et al. Status of surface electromyography assessment as part of clinical gait analysis in the management of patients with cerebral palsy - Outcomes of a Delphi process. *Gait Posture*. 2025 Mar;117:72-77.
23. Robinault L, Niazi IK, Kumari N, Amjad I, Menard V, Haavik H. Non-Specific Low Back Pain: An Inductive Exploratory Analysis through Factor Analysis and Deep Learning for Better Clustering. *Brain Sci*. 2023 Jun 13;13(6):946.
24. Sankar WN, Rethlefsen SA, Weiss J, Kay RM. The recurrent clubfoot: can gait analysis help us make better preoperative decisions? *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2009 May;467(5):1214-22.
25. States RA, Krzak JJ, Salem Y, Godwin EM, Bodkin AW, McMulkin ML. Instrumented gait analysis for management of gait disorders in children with cerebral palsy: A scoping review. *Gait Posture*. 2021 Oct;90:1-8.
26. States RA, Salem Y, Krzak JJ, Godwin EM, McMulkin ML, Kaplan SL. Three-Dimensional Instrumented Gait Analysis for Children With Cerebral Palsy: An Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. *Pediatr Phys Ther*. 2024 Apr 1;36(2):182-206.
27. Swaroop VT, Conklin MJ, Kishnan S, Rosenfeld SR. Spina Bifida Association. Guidelines for the Care of People with Spina Bifida [Internet]. 2023. Accessed January 2026. Available from: www.spinabifidaassociation.org/for-healthcare-professionals/guidelines/.
28. Williams MA, Thomas G, de Lateur B, Imteyaz H, Rose JG, Shore WS, et al. Objective assessment of gait in normal-pressure hydrocephalus. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2008 Jan;87(1):39-45.
29. Wren TA, Otsuka NY, Bowen RE, Scaduto AA, Chan LS, Sheng M, et al. Influence of gait analysis on decision-making for lower extremity orthopaedic surgery: Baseline data from a randomized controlled trial. *Gait Posture*. 2011a Jul;34(3):364-9.
30. Wren TA, Gorton GE 3rd, Ounpuu S, Tucker CA. Efficacy of clinical gait analysis: A systematic review. *Gait Posture*. 2011b Jun;34(2):149-53.
31. Wren TA, Otsuka NY, Bowen RE, Scaduto AA, Chan LS, Dennis SW, et al. Outcomes of lower extremity orthopedic surgery in ambulatory children with cerebral palsy with and without gait analysis: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Gait Posture*. 2013a Jun;38(2):236-41.
32. Wren TA, Lening C, Rethlefsen SA, Kay RM. Impact of gait analysis on correction of excessive hip internal rotation in ambulatory children with cerebral palsy: a randomized controlled trial. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2013b Oct;55(10):919-25.
33. Wren TA, Elihu KJ, Mansour S, Rethlefsen SA, Ryan DD, Smith ML, Kay RM. Differences in implementation of gait analysis recommendations based on affiliation with a gait laboratory. *Gait Posture*. 2013 Feb;37(2):206-9.

Revision Details

Type of Revision	Summary of Changes	Date
Annual Review	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Revised policy statement to include myelomeningocele.	5/15/2026
Annual Review	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No clinical policy statement changes.	4/15/2025
Annual Review	<ul style="list-style-type: none">No clinical policy statement changes.	4/15/2024

"Cigna Companies" refers to operating subsidiaries of The Cigna Group. All products and services are provided exclusively by or through such operating subsidiaries, including Cigna Health and Life Insurance Company, Connecticut General Life Insurance Company, Evernorth Behavioral Health, Inc., Cigna Health Management, Inc., and HMO or service company subsidiaries of The Cigna Group. © 2026 The Cigna Group.